

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,769	07/01/2003	Christopher Che	021756-017600US 4994	
	7590 07/18/2007 AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP			INER
	CADERO CENTER	MYINT, DENNIS Y		
8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2162	
•	•	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/612,769	CHE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dennis Myint pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	<u>ıne 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,13 and 16-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-2, 3-6, 13 and 16-19 is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		÷ .				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Delarity and at 25 H C C S 440						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/612,769 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 11, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed on June 11, 2007 has been received and entered. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 13 and 16-19 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 13 are independent claims. In the Amendment filed on June 11, 2007, Claims 1 and 13 were amended. Claims 3 and 14 were cancelled.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on June 11, 2007 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Referring to the amendment made to claim, Applicant argued that Claim 1 is allowable as Barrick, Chen and Dutta either alone or in any combination, do not teach or suggest each and every element the amended claim 1. For example, claim 1 recites in part: A method for assembling timing data for each layer in a multi-layer server

Art Unit: 2162

environment, comprising: storing the times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and the time of display in the hidden fields in the HTML based response in a database within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML based request.

(Applicant's Argument, Page 6 Paragraph 3)

In response, Applicant is pointed out that Barrick in view of Chen exactly teaches said limitation as follows: "storing the times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and the time of display in the hidden fields in the HTML based response in a database within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML based request" (Barrick repeatedly teaches storing request-response results in his specification. In Column 1 Lines 35-44, Barrick recites a network of special machines that are programmed to connect to different web sites as clients and evaluate the performance of the connection. The special machines that make up the network can then store or report their connection results; Barrick Column 2 Lines 10-18, i.e., The data is sent from the user to a relay server that preferably combines the performance data with geographical information relating to the user. The data can then be combined with data obtained from other users and stored in a central database for processing; Barrick Column 11 Lines 1-6, i.e., Download time, or any other performance parameter that is desired may be measured using an agent that monitors information as it is transmitted between parties. In one embodiment, the network is the Internet and the information is transmitted in the form of Web pages. Results are preferably sent to a relay server for formatting before being stored in a database server. In some embodiments, results are sent directly to a database server; also note Column 5 Line 1-

10 and Column 10 Line 52-58 of Barrick reference; in addition, Barrick teaches the storing of the arrival times and departure times in the hidden data fields in the second HTML based response in a database (Barrick Column 4 Line 66 through Column 5 Line 12, i.e., database server 112; Barrick, Column 5 Line 1-10 and Column 10 Line 52-58); and Barrick, Column 4, Line 41-65; Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 Delta Field, Figure 5: Delta Field 502, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20; and Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14; Note that the method of Barrick in view of Chen repeats the whole process and generate a second HTML based request OR, on the way a long the chain of nodes, more and more HTML-based requests can be generated which would included times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and time of display for the previously generated HTML request (i.e., first HTML based request) within a requestresponse cycle corresponding to the second HTML-based request; and Dutta, Paragraph 0047, i.e., the browser maintains a list of all the screen image that have been captured within a configurable duration of time, and the time that the screen image was captured).

As such, Barrick, Chen and Dutta in combination teaches each and every element the amended claim 1.

The rest of Applicant's arguments regarding dependent claims of claim 1 and 13 depends on the above argument regarding claim 1 and are invalid because the combination of Barrick, Chen, and Dutta in combination teaches each and every limitation of claim 1 and, on the same basis, claim 13.

Art Unit: 2162

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1, 5, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrick Jr. et al. (hereinafter "Barrick") (U.S. Patent Number 6625647) in view of Chen et al. (hereinafter "Chen") (U.S. Patent Number 5793976) and further in view of Dutta et al., (hereinafter "Dutta") (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0161794).

Referring to claim 1, Barrick Jr. et al. is directed to a system and method for assembling timing data in a multi-layer server environment, comprising:

Art Unit: 2162

"generating a first HTML request" (Barrick, Column 4, Line 41-65);

"depositing a time of generation of the first HTML based request in one or more hidden data fields associated with the first HTML based request" " (Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 *Delta Field*, Figure 5: *Delta Field 502*, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20);

"forwarding the HTML based request to one or more servers" (Barrick, Column 7 Lines 15-22);

"generating an HTML based response" (Barrick, Column 7 Lines56-66); "transferring" and "forwarding" (Barrick, Column 7 Lines 56-66)

"receiving the HTML based response to a browser for displaying the HTML based response, the browser operable to store a time of arrival" (Barrick, Column 8 Lines 33-38, i.e., *By controlling the sending of the HTTP GET request for the desired HTML*, page, the browser agent is able to record the time the request was send and monitor the receiving of the page to determine the download time);

"storing the times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and the time of display in the hidden fields in the HTML based response in a database within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML based request" (Barrick repeatedly teaches storing request-response results in his specification. In Column 1 Lines 35-44, Barrick recites a network of special machines that are programmed to connect to different web sites as clients and evaluate the performance of the connection. The special machines that make up the network can then store or report their connection results; Barrick Column 2 Lines 10-18, i.e., The data is sent from the user to a relay

server that preferably combines the performance data with geographical information relating to the user. The data can then be combined with data obtained from other users and stored in a central database for processing; Barrick Column 11 Lines 1-6, i.e., Download time, or any other performance parameter that is desired may be measured using an agent that monitors information as it is transmitted between parties. In one embodiment, the network is the Internet and the information is transmitted in the form of Web pages. Results are preferably sent to a relay server for formatting before being stored in a database server. In some embodiments, results are sent directly to a database server; also note Column 5 Line 1-10 and Column 10 Line 52-58 of Barrick reference; in addition, Barrick teaches the storing of the arrival times and departure times in the hidden data fields in the second HTML based response in a database (Barrick Column 4 Line 66 through Column 5 Line 12, i.e., database server 112; Barrick, Column 5 Line 1-10 and Column 10 Line 52-58); and Barrick, Column 4, Line 41-65; Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 Delta Field, Figure 5: Delta Field 502, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20);

Barrick Jr. et al. teaches that the response is sent back from the server to the browser agent, located at the client machine, which calculates the round-trip time based on the request time and arrival time at the browser (Barrick Jr. et al., Column 7 56-66).

Barrick Jr. et al. does not explicitly disclose the limitations:

""the arrival times", "departure time", and "transferring the arrival times, the time of generation of the HTML based request, and the departure times to the one or more hidden data fields associated with the HTML based response"; "a time of display for the

HTML based response"; and "within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML based request".

Chen teaches the limitations:

"the arrival times", and "departure time" (Chen, Figure 2 and Column 7 Line 50 through Column 8 Line 54), and "transferring the arrival times, the time of generation of the (HTML based) request, and the departure times to the one or more hidden data fields associated with the (HTML based) response" (Chen, Column 6 Line 55 through Column 7 Line 5; and Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14, i.e., A particular advantage of the node-by-node delay measurement packet is that it allows the computation and relay of instantaneous delay information....... Alternatively, additional time stamps can be sued for each node, allowing the switch to merely record in one timestamp field the time that the packet arrives, and then record the time the packet leaves into another timestamp field);

Chen teaches a method and system for monitoring of network performance, wherein a special class of packet called *management packet* (Chen, Column 6 Line 55-60) is defined which includes an information field which is modified by all the nodes along a virtual connection (Chen Column 6 Line 55 through Column 7 Line 5; and Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14, i.e., *A particular advantage of the node-by-node delay measurement packet is that it allows the computation and relay of instantaneous delay information...... Alternatively, additional time stamps can be sued for each node, allowing the switch to merely record in one timestamp field the time that the packet arrives, and then record the time the packet leaves into another timestamp field). Said*

management packets are used to collect performance parameters along any virtual connection, including packet delays at each intermediate node where arrival time and departure time at each node are recorded in multiple timestamp fields in the packet, which is used to calculate delay time at each and recorded into the packet (Chen et al., Figure 2 and Column 7 Line 50 through Column 8 Line 54); and

"within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML based request" (Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14; Note that the method of Barrick in view of Chen repeats the whole process and generate a second HTML based request OR, on the way a long the chain of nodes, more and more HTML-based requests can be generated which would included times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and time of display for the previously generated HTML request (i.e., first HTML based request) within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML-based request).

Dutta teaches the limitation: "a time of display for the HTML based response"

(Paragraph 0047, i.e., the browser maintains a list of all the screen image that have been captured within a configurable duration of time, and the time that the screen image was captured).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of acquiring arrival and depart time at each node (servers or switches or routers), as taught by Chen, and the feature of storing a time of display, as taught by Dutta, to the system and method taught by Barrick et al. so that the combined method of Barrick in view of Chen teaches the limitations:

Art Unit: 2162

"generating a first HTML based request" (Barrick, Column 4, Line 41-65);

"depositing a time of generation of the first HTML based request in one or more hidden data fields associated with the first HTML request" (Barrick, Column 7 Lines56-66);

"forwarding the first HTML based request to one more servers that each deposit an arrival time and a departure time for the first HTML based request in the one or more hidden data fields associated with the first HTML based request" (Barrick, Column 7 Lines 15-22; and Chen, Column 6 Line 55 through Column 7 Line 5; and Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14);

"generating an HTML based response in response to receiving the first HTML based request" (Barrick, Column 7 Lines56-66);

"depositing a time of generation of the HTML based response in one or more hidden data fields associated with the HTML based response" (Barrick, Column 7 Lines56-66; Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14; Note that in the chain of nodes in the method of Chen, a node's response becomes a request to next node in the chain; See Figures 3, 4, and 5);

"transferring the arrival times, the time of generation of the HTML based request, and the departure times to the one or more hidden data fields associated with the HTML based response" (Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 *Delta Field*, Figure 5: *Delta Field 502*, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20; and Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14, i.e., *A particular advantage of the node-by-node delay measurement packet is that it allows the computation and relay of instantaneous delay information......*

Alternatively, additional time stamps can be sued for each node, allowing the switch to merely record in one timestamp field the time that the packet arrives, and then record the time the packet leaves into another timestamp field; Note that, in Chen's method, arrival times and departure times are recorded into multiple timestamp fields at each node, thus transferring/storing the arrival times, the times of generation of the request, and the departure times. At the beginning of this chain, time of generation is recorded. After that, time of arrival and departure are recorded all the way along the chain);

"forwarding the HTML based response to one or more servers each deposit an arrival time and a departure time in the one more hidden data fields associated with HTMO based response" (Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 *Delta Field*, Figure 5: *Delta Field* 502, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20; and Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14,);

"receiving the HTML based response to a browser for displaying the HTML based response, the browser operable to store a time of arrival and a time of display for the HTML based response" (Barrick, Column 8 Lines 33-38, i.e., *By controlling the sending of the HTTP GET request for the desired HTML, page, the browser agent is able to record the time the request was send and monitor the receiving of the page to determine the download time, and Dutta Paragraph 0047, i.e., the browser maintains a list of all the screen image that have been captured within a configurable duration of time, and the time that the screen image was captured);*

"generating a second HTML based request, the second based request including the times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and time of display for the first

HTML based request and HTML based response in one or more hidden data fields associated with the second HTML based request" (Barrick, Column 4, Line 41-65; Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 *Delta Field*, Figure 5: *Delta Field 502*, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20; and Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14; Note that the method of Barrick in view of Chen can repeat the whole process and generate a second HTML based request OR, on the way a long the chain of nodes, more and more HTML-based requests can be generated which would included times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and time of display for the previously generated HTML request (i.e., first HTML based request). Running software processes repeatedly in a loop is notoriously well known in the art); and

"storing the times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and the time of display in the hidden fields in the HTML based response in a database within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML based request" (Barrick repeatedly teaches storing request-response results in his specification. In Column 1 Lines 35-44, Barrick recites a network of special machines that are programmed to connect to different web sites as clients and evaluate the performance of the connection. The special machines that make up the network can then store or report their connection results; Barrick Column 2 Lines 10-18, i.e., The data is sent from the user to a relay server that preferably combines the performance data with geographical information relating to the user. The data can then be combined with data obtained from other users and stored in a central database for processing; Barrick Column 11 Lines 1-6, i.e., Download time, or any other performance parameter that is desired may be

measured using an agent that monitors information as it is transmitted between parties. In one embodiment, the network is the Internet and the information is transmitted in the form of Web pages. Results are preferably sent to a relay server for formatting before being stored in a database server. In some embodiments, results are sent directly to a database server; also note Column 5 Line 1-10 and Column 10 Line 52-58 of Barrick reference; in addition, Barrick teaches the storing of the arrival times and departure times in the hidden data fields in the second HTML based response in a database (Barrick Column 4 Line 66 through Column 5 Line 12, i.e., database server 112: Barrick, Column 5 Line 1-10 and Column 10 Line 52-58); and Barrick, Column 4, Line 41-65; Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 Delta Field, Figure 5: Delta Field 502, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20; and Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14; Note that the method of Barrick in view of Chen repeats the whole process and generate a second HTML based request OR, on the way a long the chain of nodes. more and more HTML-based requests can be generated which would included times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and time of display for the previously generated HTML request (i.e., first HTML based request) within a request-response cycle corresponding to the second HTML-based request; and Dutta, Paragraph 0047. i.e., the browser maintains a list of all the screen image that have been captured within a configurable duration of time, and the time that the screen image was captured).

One would have been motivated to do so in order that the information field of a management cell is modified by all the network nodes along a virtual connection, not just by the virtual end point" (Chen et al., Column 6 Line 65 through Column 7 Line 5)

and also because recording the time of display, duration of execution/delay, time of generation, time of arrival, and time of departure are well known in the art of network performance measuring as well as in the art of web-based advertisement.

Referring to claim 5, Chen teaches the limitation:

"at least one of the arrival time and the departure time is based on a local time associated with one or more servers" (Chen, Column 7 Line 17-27, i.e., *local measurement of packet delay*).

Claim 13 is rejected on the same basis as claim 1.

Referring to claim 18, Barrick is directed to the limitation:

"at least one first server is a web server" (Column 4 Line 58-60, i.e., web server 104, and Figure 1C: web server 104).

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Dutta and further in view of Fish et al. (hereinafter "Fish") (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0111394).

Referring to claim 2, Barrick in view of Chen does not explicitly disclose the limitation:

"displaying the one or more hidden data fields to a user".

Fish teaches the limitation:

"displaying the one or more hidden data fields to a user" (Paragraph 0023, 034, and 0038-0039). Fish teaches a method for writing debug data into hidden fields of HTML or XML document, which hidden until the user makes said hidden fields visible to be displayed (Paragraph 0023, 034, and 0038-0039).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of displaying hidden data fields as taught by Fish et al. to the system and method taught by Barrick Jr. et al. in view of Chen et al. as applied to claim 1 above so that, the method of claim 1 would further comprise displaying the one or more hidden data fields to a user. One would have been motivated to do so in order to simply allow the user analyze the hidden data instantly rather than storing the hidden data in a database.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Dutta and further in view of Packman et al., (hereinafter "Packman") (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0225877).

As per claim 4, Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Dutta teaches the limitations: "performing analysis on the times of generation, arrival times, departure times, and time of display in the database to determine a time of delay at each server and at the browser for the first HTML based request and the HTML based response" (Barrick, Column 10 Lines 55-61; Barrick, Column 5 Line 1-10 and Column 10 Line 52-58; Barrick, Column 4, Line 41-65; Barrick, Column 9 Line 1-10 Delta Field, Figure 5:

Delta Field 502, and Column 7, Line 43 through Column 8 Line 20; Chen, Column 8 Line Column 9 Line 14; and Dutta, Paragraph 0047).

Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Dutta does not explicitly teach the limitation: "the one or more servers including at least one application server and a database server".

Packman teaches the limitation:

"the one or more servers including at least one application server and a database server" (Paragraph 0032, i.e., application servers 340 and/or database servers).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of measuring performance of application servers and/or database servers, as taught by Packman, to the method and system of Barrick in view of Chen and further of Dutta so that the resultant method would comprise one or more servers which are application servers and database servers. One would have been motivated to do so because measuring performance of application servers and database servers are notoriously well known in the art (Packman Paragraphs 0004-0005).

9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Engel (hereinafter "Engel") (U.S. Patent Application 'Publication Number 2004/0246996).

Referring to claim 6, Barrick in view of Chen does not explicitly recite the limitation:

"wherein the local time of at least one of the one or more servers is synchronized with at least one other of the one or more servers".

Engel teaches the limitation:

"wherein the local time of at least one of the one or more servers is synchronized with at least one other of the one or more servers" (Paragraph 0017). Engel teaches a method for time synchronization across communication devices wherein local time of one or more nodes is synchronized by exchanging timing packets (Engel Paragraph) 0017).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of synchronizing local time among nodes as taught by Engel to the system and method taught by Barrick Jr. et al. in view of Chen et al. as applied to claim 5 so that, in the resultant system and method, local time of at least one of the one or more servers will be synchronized with at least one other of the one or more servers. One would have been motivated to do so in order to determine delay time between nodes (Engel Paragraph 0003).

10. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Dutta and further in view of Struble (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0004796).

As per claim 16, Barrick in view of Chan and further in view of Dutta as applied to claim 1 does not explicitly teach the limitation: "further comprising an internal clock associated with the at least one first server for keeping local time".

However, Struble teaches the limitation "further comprising an internal clock associated with the at least one first server for keeping local time" (Paragraph 0023, i.e., *The internal clock 216 is configured to maintain current local time*).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of using an internal clock to keep local time, as taught by Struble, to the method and system of Barrick in view of Chan and further in view of Dutta so that the resultant method would comprise an internal clock which is associated with at least one server for keeping local time. One would have been motivated to do so in order to have two computers work *relative to current conditions* and relative to the current time (Struble, Paragraph 0023).

As per claim 17, Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Dutta and further in view of Struble teaches the limitation:

"further comprising an internal clock associated with the at least one second for keeping local time" (Struble, Paragraph 0023, i.e., *The internal clock 216 is configured to maintain current local time*).

11. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrick in view of Chen and further in view of Blythe et al. (hereinafter "Blythe") (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2004/0139433).

Referring to claim 19, Barrick in view of Chen does not explicitly teach the limitation:

"at least one second server is an application server".

Blythe teaches the limitation:

"at least one second server is an application server" (Paragraph 0036 and 0054).

Blythe et al. teaches the use of application servers in distributed environment (Blythe et al., Paragraph 0036 and 0054).

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the use of application servers to the method and system of Barrick Jr. et al. in view of Chen et al. as applied to claim 13 so that said system and method would comprise at least one second server which is an application server. One would have been motivated to do so in order to simply measure performance metrics of such servers.

Art Unit: 2162

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Myint whose telephone number is (571) 272-5629. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-5629.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis Myint

Examiner

AU-2162

Carry WV pumary Examiner Carr y Truong

Page 20